Prather Jackson

4221 WILSHIRE Blvd. Suite 290-06, Los Angeles, CA 90010 (323) 965-0555

VIA CERTIFIED MAIL
RETURN RECEIPT REQUIRED

December 30, 2009

Pamela L. Cox, Esq. Hemar, Rousso & Heald, LLP. Attorneys at Law 15910 Ventura Blvd. 12th Floor Encino, CA 91436-2829

Ursula Burns, Chief Executive Officer Xerox Corporation 45 Glover Avenue Norwalk, CT 06856-4506

Re: Sixty Day Notice of Intent to Sue Xerox Corporation and All of Its Operating Affiliates Under Health & Safety Code Sections 25249.6

To Whom It May Concern:

Mr. Prather Jackson (hereinafter the "Noticing Party") hereby provides this Notice of Intent to Sue Under Health & Safety Code Section 25249.5 et seq (the "Notice") to Ursula Burns, Chief Executive Officer, Xerox Corporation and all of its operating affiliates (hereinafter referred to collectively as "XEROX") manufacturing and distributing the "XEROX" brand of copiers, as well as government entities on the attached proof of service.

This Notice is intended to inform XEROX that it is in violation of Proposition 65, the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act (commencing with Health & Safety Code Section 25249.5) (hereinafter "Proposition 65"), specifically Section 25249.6. Proposition 65 states that when a party, such as Xerox, an entity with more than ten employees, has been or is knowingly and intentionally exposing its customers and users of its products to a detectable level of any chemical designated by the State of California to cause cancer or reproductive toxicity (the "Designated Chemicals"), it has violated the statute unless, prior to such exposure, it provides clear and reasonable warning of the exposure to the potentially exposed persons (Health & Safety Code § 25249.6). XEROX manufacturers, distributes, sells, and markets copiers (the Consumer Products") in California through retail outlets and through its internet website (www.XEROX.com) which when operated or used emit detectable levels of Designated Chemicals. The Consumer Product relevant to this Notice is identified on Exhibit A hereto. XEROX however has not placed on any of the Consumer Products, its packaging or marketing materials, nor anywhere on its internet website a clear and reasonable warning that use of any such Consumer Products will expose the user to a Designated Chemical. XEROX is therefore violating Health & Safety Code Section 25249.6.

In the ordinary course of its business, XEROX manufacturers, distributes and offers for sale, both through retail outlets and through its internet website (www.XEROX.com) in California, the copier identified on Exhibit A hereto. It has been doing so far a least one year prior to the date of this Notice.

Photocopier machines produce hazardous emissions during their operation which include Benzene, styrene oxide, Ethylbenzene, Napthalene, toluene, 1,1,2,2, Tetrachlorethane, 1-Nitropyrene, and Trichlorokethylene, chemicals known to the State to cause cancer, birth defects, and/or reproductive harm. These chemicals pose a significant health risk and are emitted without a clear and reasonable warning as required by the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 (Proposition 65) Health & Safety Code § 25249.6.

A photocopy machine is an electronic duplicator that fuses a reproduced image to plain bond paper. Photocopy machine work as follows:

- A copier drum is given a positive charge
- The image from the original copy illuminates the charged drum and a latent image is formed.
- Static electricity attracts toner to the drum surface and a visible image is formed.
- Toner on the drum is transferred to paper by positive charging.
- After the image transfer process is completed, the paper is separated from the drum surface.
- Toner on the copy paper is firmly fixed when the paper runs between heat and pressure rollers.
- A cleaning blade wipes off excess toner.
- The drum is exposed by a neon lamp to erase remaining static charge.

The Consumer Products, when used in the ordinary course of business, emit vapors, gases and particles containing Designated Chemicals. Each of the Consumer Products identified on Exhibit A hereto emit, when in operation or use, the following Designated Chemicals: Benzene, a chemical known to the State of California to causes cancer and reproductive toxicity; styrene oxide, a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer; Ethylbenzene, a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer; Napthalene, a chemical known to the State of California to cause reproductive toxicity; 1,1,2,2, Tetrachlorethane, a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer; 1-NNitropyrene, a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer, and Trichlorokethylene, a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer.

Persons using the products identified on Exhibit A will be exposed to these Designated Chemicals primarily by inhalation. None of the products identified on Exhibit A hereto have a clear and reasonable warning, as required under Proposition 65, informing persons either purchasing of using the products that use of such products will result in exposure to the identified Designated Chemicals.

Proposition 65 requires that notice and intent to sue be given to XEROX sixty days before a suit is filed. With this letter, Prather Jackson gives notice of the alleged violations to

XEROX and the appropriate government authorities. This notice covers all violations of Proposition 65 that are currently known to Prather Jackson from information available to him. Prather Jackson reserves the right to amend this Notice to inform XEROX of other violations and/or exposures as it gathers further information. With the copy of this amended notice submitted to XEROX, a copy is provided of "The Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 (Proposition 65) A Summary."

Dated: December 30, 2009

Prather Jackson

cc:

California Attorney General Proposition 65 Enforcement Division 1515 Clay Street, Suite 2000 Post Office Box 70550 Oakland, CA 94612-0550

XEROX EXHIBIT A

١	M	^	Ч	ام	N	h	m	h	Δ	r	•
H.	٧ı	v	ч		- 13	ш			u		

DocuColor 260

CERTIFICATE OF MERIT Health and Safety Code 25249.7(d)

I, Prather Jackson, hereby declare:

- 1. This Certificate of Merit accompanies the attached sixty-day notice(s) in which it is alleged the parties identified in the notice have violated Health and Safety Code section 25249.6 by failing to provide clear and reasonable warnings.
- 2. I have consulted with one or more persons with relevant and appropriate experience or expertise who has reviewed facts, studies, or other data regarding the alleged exposures to the listed chemicals that are subject to the action.
- 3. Based on the information obtained through those consultations, and on all other information in my possession, I believe there is a reasonable and meritorious case for the private action. I understand that "reasonable and meritorious case for the private action" means that the information provides a credible basis that all elements of the plaintiffs' case can be established and the information did not prove that the alleged violator will be able to establish any of the affirmative defenses set forth in the statue.
- 4. The copy of this Certificate of Merit served on the Attorney General attached to it factual information sufficient to establish the basis for this certificate, including the information identified in Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(h)(2), i.e.,(1) the identity of the persons consulted with and relied on by the certifier, and (2) the facts, studies, or other data reviewed by those persons.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed at Los Angeles, California on December 30, 2009.



OFFICE OF ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH HAZARD ASSESSMENT CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

THE SAFE DRINKING WATER AND TOXIC ENTFORCEMENT ACT OF 1986 (PROPOSITION 65): A SUMMARY

The following summary has been prepared by the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment, the lead agency for the implementation of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 (commonly known as "Proposition 65"). A copy of this summary must be included as an attachment to any notice of violation served upon analoged violator of the Act. The summary provides basic information about the provisions of the law, and is intended to serve only as a convenient source of general information. It is not intended to provide authoritative guidance on the meaning or application of the law. The reader is directed to the sautte and its implementing regulations (see clustions below) for further information.

Proposition 65 appears in Californis law as Health and Safety Code Sections 25249.5 through 25249.13. Regulations that provide more specific guidance on compliance, and that specify procedures so be followed by the State in carrying out certain aspects of the law, are found in Title 22 of the California Code of Regulations, Sections 12000 through 14000.

WHAT DOES PROPOSITION 65 REQUIRE?

The "Governor's List." Proposition 65 requires the Governor to publish a list of chemicals that are known to the State of California to cause cancer, or birth defects or other reproductive hard. This list must be updated at least once a year. Over S50 chemicals have been listed at of May 1, 1996. Only those chemicals that are on the list are regulated under this law. Businesses that produce, use, release or otherwise engage in activities involving those chemicals must comply with the following:

Clear and reasonable warnings. A business is required to warn a person before "knowingly and intentionally" exposing that person to a listed chemical. The warning given must be "clear and reasonable." This means that the warning must: (1) clearly make known that the chemical involved is known to cause cancer, or birth defects or other reproductive harm; and (2) be given in such a way that it will effectively reach the person before he or she is exposed. Exposures are exempt from the warning requirement if they occur less than twelve months after the date of listing of the chemical.

Prohibition from discharger into drinking water. A business must not knowingly discharge or release a listed chemical into water or onto land where it passes or probably will pass into a source of drinking water. Discharges are exempt from this requirement if they occur less than twenty months after the date of listing of the chemical.

DOES PROPOSITION 65 PROVIDE ANY EXEMPTIONS?

Yes: The law exempts:

Governmental agencies and public water utilities. All agencies of the federal, State or local government, as well as entities operating public water systems, are exempt.

Businesses with nine or fewer employees. Neither the warning requirement nor the discharge prohibition applies to a business that employees total of nine or fewer employees.

Exposures that possessing inflicant risk of cancer. For abamicals that are listed as known to the list to cause cancer ("carainogens"), a warning is not required if the business can demonstrate that the exposure occurs at a level that posses "no significant risk." This means that the exposure is calculated to result in not more than one excess case of cancer in 100,000 individuals exposed over a 70-year lifetime. The Proposition 65 regulations identify specific "no significant risk" levels for more than: 250 listed carainogens.

Exposures that will produce no observable reproductive effect at 1,000 times the level in question. For chemicals known to the State to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm ("reproductive toxicants"). wanting it not required if the business can demonstrate that the exposur-will produce no observable effect, even at 1,000 times the level in que's tion. In other words, the level of exposure must be below the "no observable effect level" is the highest dose level which has not been associated with an observable adverse reproductive or developmental effect.

Discharges that do not result in a "significant amount" of the lister chemical entering into any source of drinking water. The prohibition from discharges into drinking water does not apply if the discharger able to demonstrate that a "significant amount" of the listed chemical had deconot, or will not emer any drinking water source, and that the discharge complies with all other applicable laws, regulations, permits, or orders. A "significant amount" means any detectable amount, except an amount that would meet the "no significant risk" "no observable effect" test if an individual were exposed to such amount in drinking water.

HOW IS PROPOSITION 65 ENFORCED?

Enforcement is carried on through civil lawsuits. These lawsuits may brought by the Attorney General, any district attorney, or certain city tomeys (those in cities with a population exceeding 750,000). Lawsu may also be brought by private parties sering in the public interest, only after providing notice of the alleged violation to the Attorney Ger al, the appropriate district attorney and city attorney, and the business cused of the violation. The motice must provide adequate information allow the recipient to assess the nature of the alleged violation. A not must comply with the information and procedural requirements specific regulations (Title 22, California Code of Regulations, Section 129 A private party may not pursue an enforcement action directly to Proposition 65 if one of the governmental officials noted above init an action within sixty days of the notice.

A business found to be in violation of Proposition 65 is subject to penalties of up to \$2,500 per day for each violation. In addition, the trees may be ordered by a court of law to stop committing the violation.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION...

Contact the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment's osition 65 Implementation Office at (916) 445–6900.

§ 14000. Chemicals Required by State or Federal Law
Have Been Tested for Potential to Cause
Cancer or Reproductive Toxicity, but Whi
Have Not Been Adequately Tested As
Required.

(a) The Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1 quires the Governor to publish a list of chemicals formally requires or federal agencies to have testing for carcinogenicity or rejuive toxicity, but that the state's qualified expens have not found been adequately tested as required [Health and Safety Code 2524]

Readers should note a chemical that alrea known to the state to cause cancer or reproductive toxicity is not included. in the following listing a.s requiring additional testing for that particular loxicological endpoint. 1-1 owever, the "data gap" may continue to exist, for purposes of the state or federal agency's requirements. Additional informstion on the requirerments for testing may be obtained from the spedisc agency identified below.

(b) Chemicals required to be tested by the California Department of

Pesticide Regulation.

The Birth Defect Prevention Act of 1984 (SB 950) mandates that the California Department of Pesticide Regulation (CDPR) review chronic toxicology studies supporting the registration of pesticidal active ingredicnts, Missing or unacceptable studies are identified as data gaps. The sudies are conducted to ful fill generic data requirements of the Federal insecticide, Fungicide, gand Redenticide Act (FIFRA), which is administered by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. The studies are reviewed by CDPR according to guidelines and standards promulgated under FIFFA. Thus, older studies may not med current guidelines. The existence of a data gap for a compound does not indicate a total

lack of information on the Carcinogenicity or reproductive toxicity of the compound, in some cases, information exists in the open scientific literature, but SB 950 requires specific additional information. A data gap does not necessarily indicate that an oncogenic or reproductive bazard exists. For the purposes of this list, a data gap is still considered to be present unill the study is reviewed and found to be acceptable.

Following is a listing of SB 950 data gape for encognicity, reproduction, and teratology studies for the first 200 pesticidal active ingredients. uon, and mischings as data gaps are filled by additional data of replace-

For purposes of this section, "one mouse" means oncogenicity in mice, ಗ್ರಾಣ ಕಾರ್ಯ "Out has been aucoscarie; the in 1812" "Lebio, mesus schoquegos" areas rodent" menu teratogenicity in rodenti, "tera rabbit" menu teratogenicw in rebbils.

Chemical		Zenny reson
Bandiocert	• •	one rat, repre, tera redent .
Orderonet	•	rodent, tent täbbit
PCP Petroleum distillates, arconadic		rupro, ope tel poe tel, one mouse, repro, tere rodera, tera rabbil

(e) Chemicals required to be tested by the United States Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Toxic Substances.

Under Sealon 4(a) of the Toxic Substances Control Act, lesting of a chemical is required when that chemical may present an unreasonable chemical to require with the substantial quantities and enters the environment risk, or is produced in substantial quantities and enters the environment than, or is produced in several have significant or substantial human ex-

Source.

For purposes of this section, "term" means tenstogenicity, "ruck" means reproductive toxicity, "one" means oncogenicity.

Testing Needed. Alkyl (C12-13) zlycidyl stha TIO HAMY methyl cher Bisphenoi A diglycidyi ether nos, lera Cyclobezane" Olycidyl methactylate 1,6-Heramethylene disney DNC, FLOX., VOIE N_Hethylpymolidone

nion 4 health effices testing program ion * The Toxic Substances Cor cyclohesane and glycidyl metral thave been completed and the U.S. Envimonths Protection Agency's review of the testing program data is courrently un-

(d) Chemicals required to be lessed by the United States Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Free ticaide Programs

The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is responsible for the regulation of pesticides under the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Redemicide Act (FIFRA) FIFRA requires EPA to register pesticides based on data adequate to demonaturate that they will most result in unreasomble adverse effects to people on the environment when used in accordance with their EPA-approved labels.

in 1988, FIFRA was smended to strengthen EPA's pesticide regulatory authority and responsibilities to reregister pesticides registered prior to 1984 to ensure they med tockery's stringent scientific and regulatory sundards, Reregistration requires registrates to develop up-to-date data bases for each pesticide active imgredient. As part of the reregistration process, modifications may be remade to registrations, labels or tolerances to ensure they are protective of hauman health and the emvironment. Also, terevisitation reviews will identify any pesticides where regulatory action may be necessary to deal with unreasonable risks. EPA has been directed to accelerate the reregistriation process so that the entire process is completed by 1997. The 1988 armendments set out a five-phase schedule to accomplish this task with cheadlines applying to both pesticide reginners and the EPA. These amendments are requiring a substantial number of new studies to be conducted and old studies to be reformaned for EPA seriew to count they are a dequate. EPA may, in the future, request additional data or information to further evaluate array concerns over the safety of pesticide products.

The chemicals listed below are those for which data are unavailable or inadequate to characterist torscogenicity, tentogenicity, or reproductive effects potential. For purposees of this section, "one" means oncogenicity, "tera" means teratogenicii ty, and "repro" meana reproductive toxic-

Daia Requirements

Acroleis	ORC, less
Alkyl imidezolines	Leen
Ametrys	repro, test
4-Aminopyridine	ONC, PERCO, METER
4-T-Amylphenal .	OUC' स्टॉस्टर
Aquashade	COC, repre, tecta
,	
Bensulide	DOC, TOPIO, TEXAS
Benrisothiazoline-3-one	out, tepre, term
Brodifacoum.	<u>sebte</u>
Bromonitrestyrene	· terra .
Bussen 77	रक् र
• • •	
Chloriturenol methyl	terg .
Chlorophacizone	tere
Chloropicrin .	ouc' scho
Chromated atsenicals	1 =70 ·
Cyclosic	900
Cypermethrin	ont, repro, less
1 "	
DCNA .	enter pers .
Dipromodicyanobutane	` !=n
Diciolop-methyl	ooc, teni
Dicrotophos	onc, repro
Dihalodialkylhydantoire	onc, Tepro, bean
Dimethepin	nac' usbus' pens
Dimethyldithiocarbanase	ONC, IMPRO, MAIN
Dinocap and its compounds	ten.
Diphacinone and saks	ORC, repre, Messa
Diphenylamine	CRC, ICTR
Dipropyl isocinchomeronsic	TEPEO
Diuron	900

ity.

•	_	_	
	Data Re	Chemicti Chemicti	Data Requirements
Chemical		Properti	cesc selec
	onc, repro, tiez	Propriation	tett ·
Dodine	'	Proplemezoic	Coc
n and salts	cat, repro, len	Propylene oxide	'len
Endoheil and sals	SAC	1	Crisc, repro
Etholuneene	ten.	Pyrazini	COSC; licia
Ethoxyquin		Pyredula and derivatives	···•
	ters	Pyrimidianoc	, Obc., ieta
Fenthion	OBC, PEDFO, NEWS	· ·	· ; -
Fennsterate	repro, kra	Serinoxydim	ORC
Fluvalinate	roland mer	Sidneon	our' telur' per
	turn.	Sedium Duoride	
Hydraxy-methyldithiocarbanate	ters.	Sulforneumon-enethyl .	. cond., less
Нушил			
	OGC ·	TBT-containing compounds	OpiC, Texts.
imeralii Inorganic chiorates	OGC, YEPFO, META	TOMB	pec, repro, tera
.i.a. acci (1125	COC, 10pro, tett	Temephos ·	DOC, tera
inorganic sulfites lodine-polarsium iodide	icia	Tetracidoroviaphos	DEC
lodine-politication.	. ⊭ aa		ORC
iprodione .	· occ, repre, teta	' Telemethia	
Irganan		Thisbendarole and salts	one, repre, leak
	९०६, स्ट्रांस	Taidistanto	out' sther' pay
Lamprecide	•	Taiodicarb	per l
	bac	Thiophenate-methyl	· coc, test .
Magnerium phosphide	900	Triren	, cac , ,
Malathica		Triadiumico	CONT. MARCO .
Manet	OUC' PERM .	Trickepyr and salts	08C '
Company of salts			•
Melimidide and pala	, term ,	Verpolate	cac, repro
Mepigant chloride	ta ·	Revised: January 1, 1991	
Metaldehyde	00C, 1873	Wedden several of san-	History
Methodychia	onc. sepro, turk	o simple and the second to	OAL for printing only pursuant to Government Co-
Methyl isothiccyanas	tera ·	T1242 I Recition	* 19. No. 17).
Methyl peratides	tera ·	2 Amendment submitted to	OAL for printing saly pursuant to Government Co
Methylchiacartement	repro	1934 t / Levisu	# 90. TNa. 2).
Mathylenaucha de	icia	3. Amendment submitted by section 11343.1 (Regist	DAL for printing only personne to Government Co
MOK 264	. sebio	Section 11343-6 (Assume	merction (d) (Register 91. No. 31).
Molinets		والمستحددة والمستحددة والمستحددة	rinting some (Reviser 91, 140, 43).
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ronc	med	inting inserencely contlete binebernent. Strobbits
Naphalene Naphalenesenic acid	०६८, त्रः)र ण		usuant to Government Code section 11343.4 (Regi
Naphalenessan	inche -	93, No. 203.	printing errors (Regimer 93, No. 45).
Naphthenete salts	rebeo .	t Amendment of subsecti	on (d) Bled 1-1-94, Submitted to OAL for printing
Naproparade	one, term		
Nichoramide	onc, tera	O Amendment of Subsect	ions (b), (c), and (d) filed 12-23-94. Submitted to
Microtine and derivatives	oge, left.	for printing valy (Reg	ing to OVT to histor only brancist to Covers
Nitrapytin	ont, repro, was		Ricerialize 95, No. 521.
4-Niurophenoi	- m :	حا اصلی مسلم می دود ا	30-97: enember 1-31-97. Submitted to UAL 107.
s prom. a g	` left	ing only pursuent to i	in the sund print Cook recesor 52542 Trelisher a
Octubinone	tera ·	5).	
Oil of Pennyroyal	, DOC, TEPFO, ISTA	· 12: Amendment of sub-	crucing (p), (c) ma (p) mad 2~13~76; operative 2~1
Constine still sales	Tepro .	25340 # (Besister 91	ections (b), (c) and (d) filed 2~13-5%; operative 2— r printing only persons to Health and Safety Code; i, No. 7).
Oradisass	900		• · · · • •
Oxynuories	•	1	
•	ien.	}	•
Pebulan	tera.	} .	
Dar Paris COOK	905		
De-Traciipheni	, =: :	· 1 .	
1 === ex 25 '	. tera	l '	•
2-Phenytphenol and salts	oac, tera	1	
	len		
Pipercnyl botoxide	ters ·	1	
Poly (hexamethylene biguanide) Poly (hexamethylene biguanide)	oac, repro		
Poly (hexanethylene alcohole Polyethexyleted alliphatic alcohole	coc, repro, term	•	•
Polyethex ylates and	jera.	· \	•
Proceeds	DOC	1	,
Propechics		1	•
*	•	•	

[The next page is 201.]

Animal biossay data is admissible and generally indicative of poten-

For purposes of this regulation, sobtances are present occupationally when there is a possibility of exposure either as a result of normal work operations or a reasonably forescable emergency is one which a place operations. A reasonably forescable emergency is one which a reasonable person should anticipate based on usual work conditions, a reasonable person should anticipate based on usual work conditions, a substance's particular chemical properties (e.g., potential for explosion, fire, reactivity), and the potential for human helith hazards. A reasonably foresecable emergency includes, but is not limited to, splits, fires, explosions, equipment failure, rupture of continers, or failure of control equipment which may or do result in a release of a hazardous substance into the workplace.

(b) Administrative Procedure Followed by the Director for the Development of the initial List. The Director shall hold a public hearing concerning the initial list. The record will remain open 30 days after the public hearing for additional written comment. Requests to exempt a substance in a particular physical state, volume, or concentration from substance in a particular physical state, volume, or concentration from the provisions of Labor Code sections 6390 to 6399.2 may be made at this time. If no comments in opposition to such a request are made at the public hearing or received during the comment period, or if the Director can find no valid mason why the request should not be considered, it will be incorporated during the Director's preparation of the list.

After the public comment period the Director shall formulate the initial list and send it to the Standards Board for approval, After receipt of the list or a modified list from the Standards Board, the Director will adopt the list and file it with the Office of Administrative Law.

acopt the fisterial flat (e) Concentration Requirement. In determining whether the concentration requirement of a substance should be changed pursuant to Labor Code section 6383, the Director shall consider valid and substantial evidence to the consist of clinical evidence. Valid and substantial evidence and too state of clinical evidence or textcological studies including but not limited to, animal bloassay tests, short-term in vitro tests, and human epidemiological studies. Upon adoption, a regulation indicating the concentration requirement for a substance shall consist of a footnote on the list.

(d) Procedures for Modifying the List. The Director will consider petitions from any member of the public in modify the list or the concentration requirements, pursuant to the procedures specified in Government Code section 1347.1. With petitions to modify the list, the Director shall make any necessary deletions or additions in accordance with the procedures herein set forth for establishing the list. The Director will review the existing list at least every two years and shall make any necessary additions or deletions in accordance with the procedures herein set forth for establishing the list.

estaphisming the rist.

(c) Criteria for Modifying the List. Petitions to add or remove a substance on the list, modify the concentration level of a substance, or reference when a particular substance is present in a physical state which does not pose any human health risk must be accompanied with relevant and sufficient scientific data which may include, but is not limited to, shorterm tests, animal studies, human epidemiological studies, and clinical term tests, animal studies, human epidemiological studies, and clinical term tests, animal studies not include the complete content of a referenced study or other document, there must be sufficient information to permit the Director to identify and obtain the referenced material. The potitioner licars the burden of justifying any proposed modification of the

The Director shall consider all evidence submitted, including negative and positive evidence. All evidence must be based on properly designed studies for exicological endpoints indicating adverse health effects in humans, e.g., carcinogenicity, mutagenicity, neurotexicity, organ damans of foots.

For purposes of this regulation, animal data is admissible and generally indicative of potential effects in bumans.

The absence of a particular category of studies shall not be used to prove the absence of risk.

inherent insensitivities, negative results must be neevaluated in light of the limits of sensitivity of each study, its test design, and the protocol followed.

in evaluating different results among proper tests, as a general rule, positive results shall be given more weight than negative results for purposes of including a substance on the list or modifying the list in reference to concentration, physical state or volume, so that appropriate information may be provided regarding those positive results. In each case, the relative sensitivity of each test shall be a factor in vesolving such conflicts.

NOTE: Anthority cited: Section 6380, Labor Code. Reference: Sections 6361, 6380, 6380.5, 6382 and 6383, Labor Code,
HISTORY

- 1. New article 5 (section 337) filed 11-5-41; effective thirtiesh day thereafter (Register 81, No. 45).
- Amendment of subsection (d) filed 1-15-87; effective upon filing pursuant to Government Code section 11346.2(d) (Register 87, No. 3).
- 1. Editorial correction of HISTORY 2. (Register 91, No. 19).

i 338.. Special Procedures for Supplementary Enforcement of State Plan Requirements Concerning Proposition 65.

(a) This section sets forth special procedures necessary to comply with the terms of the approval by the United States Department of Labor of the California Hazard Communication Standard, pertaining to the incorpo ration of the occupational applications of the California Safe Drimkin. and Toxic Enforcement ACI (hereinafter Proposition 65), as set forth i 62 Federal Register 31159 (June 6, 1997). This approval specifical) placed certain conditions on the enforcement of Proposition 65 with n gard to occupational exposures, including that it does not apply to th conduct of manufacturers occurring outside the State of California. An person proceeding "in the public interest" pursuant to Health and Safet Code & 25249.7(4) (hereinather "Supplemental Enforcer") or any distri attorney or city attorney or prosecutor pursuant to Health and Sale Code \$ 25249.7(c) (bereinalter "Public Prosecutor"), who alleges the c istence of violations of Proposition 65, with respect to occupational e posures as incorporated into the California Hazard Communication Su dard (hereinafter "Supplemental Enforcement . Matter"), shall come with the requirements of this section. No Supplemental Enforcement Maner shall proceed except in compliance with the requirements of t

(b) 22.CCR § 12903, setting forth specific requirements for the containd manner of service of sixty—day notices under Proposition 65, in fect on April 22, 1997, is adopted and incorporated by reference. In attion, any sixty—day notice concerning a Supplemental Enforcement bur shall include the following statement:

This notice alleges the violation of Proposition 65 with respect to cupational exposures governed by the California State Pian for Occutional Salety and Health. The State Pian incorporates the provisior Proposition 65, as approved by Federal OSHA on June 6, 1997. This proval specifically piaced certain conditions with regard to occupate exposures on Proposition 65, including that it does not apply to the duct of manufacturers occurring outside the State of California. The proval also provides that an employer may use the means of compil in the general hazard communication requirements to comply with I oslition 65, it also requires that supplemental emforcement is subject supervision of the California Occupational Safety and Health Admiration. Accordingly, any settlement, civil complaint, or substituture orders in this matter must be submitted to the Attorney Gen

- (c) A Supplemental Enforcer or Public Prosecutor who commes Supplemental Enforcement Matter shall serve a file-endorsed of the complaint upon the Attorney General-within tendays after fillin the Court.
- (d) A Supplemental Enforcer or Public Prosecutor shall serve up Attendey General a copy of any motion, or opposition to a most

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I am over the age of 18 and not a party to this case. I am a resident or employed in the county where the mailing occurred. My business address is 4221 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 290-06, Los Angeles, CA 90010.

I SERVED THE FOLLOWING:

- 1. 60-Day Notice of Intent to Sue Under Health & Safety Code Section 24249.6
- 2. The Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 (Proposition 65): A Summary (only sent to violators;
- 3. Certificate of Merit (supporting papers sent to Attorney General only)

By enclosing a true copy of the same in a sealed envelope addressed to each person whose name and address is shown below and depositing the envelope in the United States mail with the postage fully prepaid:

Date of Mailing: December 30, 2009 Place of Mailing: Los Angeles, California

NAME AND ADDRESS OF EACH PERSON TO WHOM DOCUMENTS WERE MAILED:

Ursula Burns, CEO	Pamela L. Cox, Esq.	California Attorney General
Xerox Corporation	Hermar, Rousso & Heald, LLP	(Proposition 65 Enforcement Division
45 Glover Ave.	15910 Ventura Blvd 12 th Floor	1515 Clay Street, 20th Floor
Norwalk, CT 06856	Encino, California 91436	Oakland, CA

And all entities on the attached hereto

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated: December 30, 2009

ATTACHMENT TO PROOF OF SERVICE

San Diego City Attorney 1200 3rd Ave. Ste. 1620 San Diego, CA 92101

Los Angeles City Attorney 200 N. Main St. N.E. Los Angeles, CA 90012

San Francisco City Attorney 1390 Market Street San Francisco, CA 94102

Riverside County DA 4075 Main St., 1st Fl. Riverside, CA 92501

Sacramento County DA P.O. Box 749 Sacramento, CA 95812

San Jose City Attorney 151 W. Mission St. San Jose, CA 95110

Humboldt County DA 825 5th Street Eureka, CA 95501

San Mateo District Attorney 1050 Mission Road South San Francisco, CA 94080

Sonoma County DA 600 Administrative Dr. Santa Rosa, CA 95403

Yolo County D A 301 2nd Street Woodland, CA 95695

Ei Dorado County DA 1360 Johnson Blvd. #105 South Lake Tahoe, CA 96150 San Diego County District Attorney 330 Broadway San Diego, CA 92101

Los Angeles County DA 210 W. Temple Street, 18th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90012

San Francisco County DA 880 Bryant Street San Francisco, CA 94103

San Bernardino County DA 316 N. Mountain View Av. San Bernardino, CA 92415

Orange County District Attorney 700 Civic Center Dr. W., 2nd Fl. Santa Ana, CA 92701

Santa Clara County DA 2645 Zanker Road San Jose, CA 95134

Shasta County District Attorney 1525 Court Street Redding, CA 96001-1632

Marin County DA 3501 Civic Center Dr. #130 San Rafael, CA 94903

Contra Costa County DA 727 Court Street Martinez, CA 94553

District Attorney 1430 Freedom Blvd. Watsonville, CA 95076

Ventura County DA 800 South Victoria Avenue Ventura, CA 95695 Napa County DA 931 Parkway Mall Napa, CA 94559

Inyo County DA 386 W. Line Street Bishop, CA 93514

Lake County DA 255 N. Forbes St. Lakeport, CA 95453

Stanislaus County DA 300 Starr Avenue Turlock, CA 95380

District Attorney 14227 Road 28 Madera, CA 93638

Sutter County DA 446 Second Street Yuba City, CA 95991

Mariposa County DA P.O. Box 748 Mariposa, CA 95338

Nevada County DA 201 Church Street, Suite 8 Nevada City, CA 95959

San Luis Obispo County DA 1050 Monterey Street, Rm. 450 San Luis Obispo, CA 93408

Merced County DA 445 I Street Los Banos CA 93635

Mondoc County DA 204 S Court Street Alturas CA 96101 Kern County DA 2100 College Avenue Bakersfield, CA 93305.

San Joaquin DA 225 W. Elm Street #C Lodi, CA 95240

Mendocino County DA 700 S. Franklin St. Fort Bragg, CA 94537

Butte County DA 25 County Center Drive Oroville, CA 95695

Orange County District Attorney 700 Civic Center Dr. W., 2nd Fl. Santa Ana, CA 92701

Solano County DA 321 Tuolomne Street Valejo, CA 94590

Santa Cruz County DA 701 Ocean Street Santa Cruz, CA 95061

Alameda County DA 1225 Fallon Street Oakland, CA 94612

Del Norte County DA 450 H Street Crescent City, CA 95531 Santa Clara DA 2645 Zanker Rd San Jose CA 95134

Solano County DA 321 Tuolumne St Vallejo CA 94590

Glenn County DA 540 W Sycamore St Willows CA 95988

Kings County DA 1400 W Lacey Blvd Hanford CA 93230

Riverside DA 82675 US Hwy 111 FLA Indio CA 92201

Santa Rosa D.A. 111 N Pythian Rd Santa Rosa CA 95409

Monterey County DA 240 Church St. Salinas, CA 93902

Placer County DA 11562 B Avenue Auburn, CA 95603

Fresno County DA 2220 Tulare Street, #1000 Fresno, CA 93721 Siskyou County DA P.O. Box 986 Yreka, CA 96097

Tulare County DA 425 e. Kern Tulare, CA 93274

Inyo County DA PO Drawer D Independence, CA 93526

Mono County DA P.O. Box 617 Bridgeport, CA 93101

Santa Barbara County DA 1105 Santa Barbara, CA 93101

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Date: December 30, 2009

L. Phen